

More Than Numbers: R&D-related Disclosure and Firm Performance

Kenneth J. Merkley

Stephen M. Ross School of Business
University of Michigan
701 Tappan Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1234

Phone: (734) 936-0245
kmerkley@bus.umich.edu

November 15, 2010

Please do not quote or distribute without permission.

I express considerable thanks to my dissertation committee members: Reuven Leavy (Co-Chair), Greg Miller (Co-Chair), Venky Nagar, Tyler Shumway, and Jeff Smith. I also thank Beth Blankespoor, Jason Chen, Ted Christensen, Jeff Hoopes, Raffi Indjejikian, Feng Li, Russell Lundholm, Andrea Merkley, Dennis Oswald, Tianshu Qu, Cathy Shakespeare, Nemit Shroff, Hal White, Chris Williams, and David Wright for valuable comments and suggestions. I am grateful for helpful discussions with investor relations and competitive intelligence professionals including Jeremy Allen, Chris Bast, Pat Bryant, Paul Dishman, Derek Johnson, Fran Murphy, Jane Penner, John Prescott, Robyn Reals, and Nathan Smith. I gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Ross School of Business and the Paton Fund.

More Than Numbers: R&D-related Disclosure and Firm Performance

ABSTRACT

Prior disclosure research focuses largely on firms' decisions to provide stakeholders with quantitative financial information (e.g., earnings guidance or segment information); however, a large portion of most firms' disclosure is qualitative information that helps users evaluate reported performance. This study examines the relation between qualitative R&D-related disclosure and firms' reported financial performance. Based on an analysis of within-firm variation and year-to-year changes, I find that as current performance decreases, firms provide more R&D-related disclosure. The results also indicate that this relation is more pronounced for firms that place more importance on R&D and for firms with higher outside monitoring. In addition, I find that current performance plays an entirely different role in firms' decision to provide earnings guidance. My results suggest that researchers should be careful not to over-generalize disclosure policy only to quantitative disclosures.